

# A Study on Youth Consumer Behaviour towards Purchasing Smart Phones with Special Reference to Tirupati City in and Around

K. Tirumalaiah\*<sup>1</sup>, Jayakranth Rapoori<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of MBA, Annamacharya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Autonomous, Tirupati

<sup>2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of MBA, Siddharth Institute of Engineering & Technology, Autonomous, Puttur

## ABSTRACT

This study examines the buying behavior of youth consumers towards smartphones in Tirupati and surrounding areas. The research focuses on identifying key determinants such as price, brand image, technological features, online reviews, and promotional strategies influencing purchase decisions. A sample of 286 respondents was selected using cluster sampling, and data was analyzed using percentage methods. The findings reveal that youth consumers are highly price-sensitive, brand-conscious, and technology-driven, with strong reliance on digital platforms and peer influence. The study also highlights rational decision-making behavior, including comparison of alternatives and need-based purchasing. Furthermore, social and psychological factors moderately impact buying behavior alongside functional attributes. The research concludes that marketers must adopt value-based pricing, strengthen brand equity, and leverage digital marketing strategies to effectively target youth consumers. The study provides significant insights for smartphone companies to align their strategies with evolving consumer preferences in a competitive market environment.

**Keywords:** Youth Consumer Behaviour, Smartphone Purchase Decision, Brand Image, Price Sensitivity, Technological Features, Online Reviews, Promotional Strategies, Digital Influence, Tirupati Market

## INTRODUCTION

### Background of the Study

Smartphones have evolved into a paradigmatic technological innovation, exerting a multifaceted and transformative impact across key domains of human life, including communication, education, economic activity, and socio-cultural interaction. Their pervasive diffusion and integration into everyday practices underscore their role as indispensable instruments within the contemporary digital ecosystem. At the core of this transformation is the reconfiguration of interpersonal and organizational communication frameworks. The shift from traditional communication modalities to instantaneous, digitally mediated interactions has significantly enhanced the efficiency, velocity, and scalability of information exchange. Platforms such as WhatsApp, Zoom, and Telegram facilitate both

synchronous and asynchronous communication, thereby enabling seamless global connectivity while effectively compressing spatial and temporal constraints. From an educational standpoint, smartphones function as critical enablers of ubiquitous learning ecosystems and knowledge democratization. The integration of mobile technologies has accelerated the transition toward flexible, learner-centric pedagogical models, fostering self-directed and continuous learning. Digital learning platforms such as Khan Academy and Coursera provide scalable access to high-quality educational content, thereby mitigating educational inequalities and enhancing academic engagement across diverse socio-economic strata. In the economic sphere, smartphones serve as pivotal instruments for productivity optimization and financial inclusion. They facilitate a broad spectrum of professional activities, including remote work, real-time data

**Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.



processing, and digital collaboration. Moreover, mobile-based financial technologies such as Google Pay and Paytm have redefined transactional ecosystems by enabling secure, efficient, and cashless financial operations. This has substantially contributed to the expansion of the digital economy and improved financial accessibility, particularly within emerging markets. Furthermore, smartphones provide unparalleled access to real-time information and digital resources, significantly enhancing situational awareness and decision-making capabilities. Continuous access to dynamic information streams—including global news, public health updates, and socio-economic indicators—has fundamentally reshaped information consumption patterns and cognitive engagement processes. The domain of digital entertainment and media convergence has also been profoundly influenced by smartphone proliferation. Platforms such as YouTube and Spotify exemplify the integration of technology and media, offering personalized and on-demand content. This transformation has redefined leisure consumption patterns and accelerated the growth of the digital entertainment industry. Additionally, smartphones play a crucial role in facilitating social participation, civic engagement, and networked public discourse. They enable users to disseminate information, mobilize collective action, and engage in socio-political processes through digital platforms. Concurrently, embedded technologies such as Global Positioning Systems (GPS), real-time tracking, and emergency communication features enhance personal safety and crisis response capabilities. Despite these advancements, the widespread adoption of smartphones has generated critical concerns related to digital dependency, diminished face-to-face interaction, and potential physiological and psychological health implications. These challenges necessitate the implementation of balanced usage frameworks and regulatory considerations to mitigate adverse outcomes. In conclusion, smartphones constitute a foundational component of modern technological infrastructure, exerting significant influence on human behavior, socio-economic systems, and institutional processes. Their strategic and regulated utilization holds substantial potential to enhance quality of life, promote inclusive development, and sustain long-term socio-economic progress.

### **Smart phone market in india**

The Indian smartphone market remains one of the most dynamic and strategically important globally, driven by a rapidly expanding user base and increasing digital adoption. By 2025, India's smartphone users are estimated to reach approximately 700–712 million, positioning the country as the second-largest smartphone market after China. In terms of market performance, smartphone shipments in India are estimated at 152–163 million units in 2025, indicating stable growth and signs of market maturity, particularly in entry-level segments. Despite moderate volume growth, the market generated approximately Rs:4546 crores revenue in 2024, supported by rising demand for premium devices and increasing average selling prices. A significant structural shift is the rapid adoption of 5G smartphones, which accounted for nearly 79% of total shipments in 2024, reflecting accelerated technological diffusion and enhanced digital engagement. Consumer behavior in India also shows high mobile internet usage, placing the country among the leading markets in the Asia-Pacific region. The competitive landscape is dominated by major brands such as Vivo, Xiaomi, Samsung, OPPO, and Realme, with Vivo holding around 19% market share in 2025. At the same time, premium brands like Apple are expanding their presence in the high-value segment. Additionally, India has emerged as a major manufacturing and export hub, with smartphone exports reaching approximately Rs:2147 croer in 2025, supported by government initiatives and increased foreign investment. In conclusion, India's smartphone market is characterized by large-scale adoption, stable growth, rising premiumization, and strong technological advancement, reinforcing its role as a key driver of global smartphone industry growth.

### **Market share of phones in india**

The Indian smartphone market has evolved from cyclical fluctuations—impacted by events such as the Demonetization in India 2016 and the COVID-19 pandemic—to a phase of stabilization and maturity by 2024–2025. Current annual shipments are estimated at 150–160 million units, reflecting moderate growth and saturation in entry-level segments. Market expansion is now primarily driven by replacement demand cycles rather than first-time adoption. A

significant structural shift is the dominance of 5G smartphones, accounting for nearly 75–80% of shipments, indicating rapid technological diffusion and increasing consumer preference for advanced devices. Additionally, the market is witnessing premiumization, with rising demand for mid-range and high-end smartphones contributing to higher average selling prices. Distribution channels have also rebalanced, with offline retail regaining around 55–60% market share due to consumer preference for physical purchase experiences. Overall, the Indian smartphone market is transitioning toward a mature, value-driven ecosystem, characterized by technological advancement, stable growth, and evolving consumer behavior. The smartphone market exhibits a highly competitive structure, with Vivo emerging as the market leader, holding the largest

share at 18.3%. The “Others” category accounts for a significant 17.5%, indicating the presence of numerous smaller and emerging brands contributing to market fragmentation. OPPO ranks second with a 13.9% share, reflecting its strong positioning in the mid-range segment, while Samsung holds 12.6%, maintaining stability through its diversified product portfolio. Apple captures 10.4% of the market, highlighting the growing demand for premium smartphones. Meanwhile, Realme (9.8%) and Xiaomi (9.2%) continue to attract price-sensitive consumers, although Xiaomi shows a slight decline compared to its earlier dominance. Motorola records the lowest share among the listed brands at 8.3%, indicating relatively limited market penetration.

### Market and share of smart phone in india



### Need for the study

The rapid expansion of the global smartphone market, characterized by a diverse range of branded devices and continuous technological advancements, has intensified competition among manufacturers. In this dynamic environment, youth consumers represent a significant and influential segment, exhibiting distinct preferences and brand consciousness. Their purchasing decisions are increasingly driven by comparative evaluations of features, innovation, performance, price, and brand image across multiple alternatives. Despite the growing importance of this segment, there remains a need to systematically examine the factors shaping their brand preferences. Therefore, the present study is undertaken to identify and analyze the key determinants influencing youth

customers' preferences towards smartphones, thereby providing insights into their decision-making behavior.

### Scope of the study

The study focuses on analyzing the brand preferences of youth customers in the smartphone market, emphasizing factors such as features, price, brand image, and technological advancements influencing their purchase decisions. It is limited to youth consumers within a specific geographical area and considers only branded smartphones. The study examines consumer perceptions, attitudes, and behavior rather than technical aspects, aiming to provide insights into youth buying behavior and the

key determinants of brand preference in the smartphone industry.

## OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

### Primary Objective

- To critically examine and evaluate the buying behavior of young consumers towards mobile phones in Tirupati city in and around

### Secondary Objectives

- To identify and assess the key determinants influencing young consumers' smartphone purchase decisions.
- To analyze the underlying factors that significantly influence and motivate young consumers in Chennai to adopt and purchase smartphones.
- To evaluate the impact of brand perception, pricing strategies, and technological features on the purchasing behavior of young consumers.

### Youth consumer characteristics

**1. High Brand Consciousness:** Youth consumers exhibit a high degree of brand awareness and attachment, often associating brands with self-identity, social status, and peer recognition. Strong brand equity, reputation, and trust significantly influence their preferences. They tend to remain loyal to brands that consistently deliver quality and innovation, while also being influenced by brand ambassadors, advertising strategies, and perceived prestige.

**2. Technology-Oriented and Innovation Driven:** Young consumers are highly receptive to technological advancements and actively seek smartphones with the latest features such as AI capabilities, high-resolution cameras, fast processors, and 5G connectivity. They act as early adopters of innovation and are more willing to experiment with new technologies, making them a key driver of technological diffusion in the market.

**3. Price Sensitivity with Value Orientation:** Despite their attraction toward premium brands, youth consumers carefully evaluate the cost-benefit ratio before making a purchase. They focus on obtaining

maximum utility for the price paid, often comparing multiple alternatives. Discounts, exchange offers, EMI options, and promotional deals play a significant role in influencing their final decision.

**4. Influence of Social and Peer Groups:** Peer groups, family members, and social networks play a crucial role in shaping youth purchasing decisions. Recommendations, word-of-mouth communication, and social validation significantly affect their brand choices. Additionally, influencers and online communities contribute to shaping perceptions, creating trends, and reinforcing purchase intentions.

**5. Preference for Online Information and Digital Platforms:** Youth consumers extensively rely on digital platforms for product research and evaluation. They compare specifications, read reviews, and watch product demonstrations on platforms such as YouTube and Instagram. This digital engagement enables informed decision-making and reduces perceived risk associated with purchases.

**6. Desire for Personalization and Style:** Aesthetic appeal, design, and customization options are critical factors influencing youth consumers. They prefer smartphones that reflect their personality, lifestyle, and social identity. Features such as color variants, sleek design, and unique user interfaces enhance product attractiveness and influence purchase decisions.

**7. Impulsive and Trend-Driven Buying Behavior:** Youth consumers are more susceptible to impulsive buying, often driven by emotional responses, current trends, and marketing stimuli. Flash sales, limited-time offers, and aggressive advertising campaigns can trigger immediate purchase decisions. Their behavior is also influenced by the desire to stay updated with the latest trends and innovations.

**8. High Usage and Dependency on Smartphones:** Smartphones are integral to the daily lives of youth, serving as multifunctional devices for communication, education, entertainment, and social interaction. This high level of dependency increases their involvement in the purchase process, leading to more detailed evaluation of product features and performance before making a decision.



## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

**Punyatoya, P (2020)** they focused on brand personality and its relationship to consumer brand preference and purchase intention. The study also emphasised effect of brand personality on high and low involvement products preference and purchase. The paper also talks about how famous endorsers and strong brand argument can improve brand personality of low and high involvement products respectively.

**P. Sathya, Dr. R. Indirajith (2020)** their study found out the level of importance attached to the various quality characteristics of the product by the different segments of consumers, who differ in economic, educational, emotional and other characteristics. The demographic variables of the consumers are not deeply associated to their attribute awareness of the product. Brand loyalty is not sufficiently found among the consumers durable goods. They expect good 16 satisfaction from the brand they purchase.

**Mrs. K. Rajaselvi (2019)** their study is about with the increasing disposable income population, their percapita consumption of electronic goods and other 15 products is increasing. They are desirous of improving their standard of living with the hygienic and reasonably high-quality products and get rid of the spurious and sub-standard products being supplied to them. They deserve quality products, correct information about a product and a door step delivery. It is remarked that the markets were developed not because of the initiatives of Indian marketers but the “PULL” from the consumers consuming system itself.

**Bishal Nagarkoti (2019)** the aim of their study is to know about the factors influencing consumer behavior of Smartphone users. Under this study, the main focus is to identify whether Smartphone users buy Smartphone because of their need or wish, reasons to buy expensive smart phones, how social and personal factors affect them to make purchasing decision, for what purposes they use Smartphone, where and how long a day, change in usage of computers due to Smartphone and how high is the phone bill after using Smartphone.

**Dr. G. Shoba, (2018)** their study aims to analyze the customer buying behavior of various mobile brands of

Akshay Agencies. In order to accomplish the objectives of the study, a structured questionnaire was prepared using 5-point Likert scale that was administered to 200 customers for obtaining primary data from Akshay Agencies, Vaniyambadi by using simple random sampling technique. Both primary and secondary data were used. The researcher found from the demographic features of the mobile phone customers that 67 percent of the respondents are male, 33 percent are females, 36 percent of the respondents are from the age group of 21-30 years. 57 percent are married, 31 percent of the respondents' occupation is professionals, 14 percent of the respondents are earning monthly income between Rs.5001-Rs.10000. 19 percent of the respondents prefer Samsung brand. From the factor analysis, it is concluded that product features, operating facilities, quality, price and goodwill of the product have the influence on customer's buying behavior decisions.

**K.Prabha Kumari (2017)** in their study they found out the factors which influences the buying behavior of mobile phones in Tirupur city. This study helps to know the buying behavior of the consumer while choosing Mobile Phones to know the consumers satisfaction level towards different branded mobile phones and to know the reason for the dissatisfaction of the consumer.

**Rishiman Chandwani<sup>1</sup>, Deepak Kaushal<sup>2</sup> and Samantha Sawan (2017)** their study considers all the stages and levels of consumer while purchasing a mobile phone (Pre-purchase, Intrapurchase and Post purchase behavior). It is very important for a company to identify and understand the current and future perceptions, needs, wants and demands of the market. This study is based on secondary data which examines and explores new abundant opportunities to the manufacturer of the mobile phone.

**Deepika Ganlari (2016)** the aim of their study is to analyze the external and internal factors which influence a consumer's decision in purchasing a smartphone. The research also focuses on consumer attitude for smartphones and the influence brand name has on consumer buying decisions. The recent growth of smartphone usage is an observable fact that crosses all age and gender boundaries.

**Solomon A. Keelson, Takoradi (2016)** the aim of their research is to examine student selection of mobile phone services. The research used a quantitative methodology. The author surveyed some 500 University and Polytechnic students in Ghana and finds that the basic reason for changing phone servers is reliability and cost savings. The author also finds that reference group influence, social reputation and regular contact with others were also influential factors.

**Dr. P. Thirumoorthi, G. Boobalan, (2015)** the aim of their study is to create an assessment of the symbolic devices that celebrity and peers adopts to persuade the audience. The visual expression model is supported in that the study suggests why advertisers use celebrities of different gender and age groups and expertise areas in commercials for certain products and cultural

**Sanjay K. Jain (2014)** their aim is examining the impact of various consumer and product characteristics on adoption of e-commerce among consumers in India. The study is based on primary data collected through survey of consumers residing in and around Delhi. A structured non-disguised questionnaire has been employed for collecting the information from the respondents about their demographics, shopping orientations, security and privacy concerns, technological familiarity, past online shopping experiences and intentions to buy various types of products through internet in future. Past online shopping satisfaction, recreational shopping orientation, education and income emerge as significant factors affecting consumer past online purchases

**Prof. Sunitha B K (2014)** the purpose of their study is to identify the major factor that lead to the switching of mobile phone from one brand to another. It also tries to find out what are the features that needed by the customers in a mobile phone and its preferences. The switching behavior of the customers with respect to mobile phones is of high importance because of the fierce competition in the mobile phone market. The study identifies customers and factors that are lead to switching of customers from one brand of phone to another.

#### Area of the Study

Tirupati, located in Andhra Pradesh, has evolved into a prominent educational and emerging industrial hub alongside its traditional religious significance. The city hosts a strong network of higher education and research institutions, including Sri Venkateswara University, Sri Padmavati Mahila Visvavidyalayam, Sri Venkateswara Veterinary University, Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, Rashtriya Sanskrit Vidyapeetha, Sri Venkateswara Vedic University, Indian Institute of Technology Tirupati, and Sri Venkateswara Institute of Medical Sciences. In addition, around 10–15 engineering and professional colleges such as Sri Venkateswara College of Engineering, Annamacharya Institute of Technology and Sciences, Mohan Babu University, and Dr. B. R. Ambedkar Law College contribute to skilled workforce development, along with institutions there are 10 educational institutions run by Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams like SVArts college, SGS college, SPW college, SV oriental college, SV Aurvedic college, Industrially, Tirupati is expanding with support from Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation, hosting companies such as Amara Raja Group, Heritage Foods, Dixon Technologies, TCL Technology, Wingtech Technology, and Foxlink Group. The city also serves as a residential base for professionals working in institutions like Indian Institute of Technology Tirupati and Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Tirupati, as well as employees commuting to Sri City. Owing to this strong educational and industrial ecosystem, Tirupati has witnessed a notable increase in youth population, particularly comprising students and working professionals. This demographic segment is estimated to constitute approximately 35–40% of the total population, reflecting the city's growing role as a center for education, employment, and skill-based migration.

#### Sampling Method

The study employed **cluster sampling** to select respondents from the four directions of tirupati, **Cluster-1**, West Tirupati it is place for major universities, **Cluster -2** East tirupati is surrounded by major private collages like SV collage of engineering, Annamacahrya institute of technology and sciences, companies like Dixon, TCL, IIT IISER, **Cluster-3** South tirupati. Is surrounded by 5 to 6 star hotels like Taj, college like Siddaratha engineering collage, TTD

employees residential area like bairagipatteda, **Cluster 4** North Tirupati, it is located with star hotels like Pai vaisry, TTD employee residential area with TTD Administration building.

### Determination of Population for study

Tirupati City Geographical Classification	Listed youth as per Available Database	Proportion of Population for Sample
Cluster -1- Tirupati West	250	70
Cluster -2- Tirupati East	250	70
Cluster -3- Tirupati North	250	70
Cluster -4- Tirupati South	250	70
<b>Total</b>	<b>1000</b>	<b>280</b>

**Sample size:** Sample size is being determined by using the formula of finite population 1000

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e^2)}$$

$$n = \frac{1000}{1 + 1000(0.05^2)} = n = \frac{1000}{1 + 1000(0.0025)} = n = \frac{1000}{1 + 2.5} = n = \frac{1000}{3.5} = 285.7 = \mathbf{n=286}$$

**Here, n:** Required sample size, **N:** Population, **e:** margin of error. For the above study population of study i.e total listed youth as per database from colleges, companies, working men, women hostels :**N:1000**, e: margin of error is taken 5% i.e e value is **e:0.05**


**n= 286 sample size 286 is around 28% of total population 1000**

As per proportion of sample size to population each cluster is considered the same ratio of population for sample size determination

### Data analysis and interpretations

**Table:1 Age group of respondents**

Response	No of respondents	% of Respondents
Below 18	24	8%
18 to 21	55	19%
21 to 25	122	43%
25 to 30	85	30%
<b>Total</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>100%</b>



**Graph:1**


### Interpretation:

From the table, 43% of respondents belong to 21–25 age group, followed by 25–30 age group is 30%, 18–

21 age group is 19%, and below 18 years age is 8%, indicating higher representation of young adults.

**Table:2 Gender of respondents**


Response	No of respondents	% of Respondents
Male	150	52%
Female	136	48%
Total	286	100%



**Graph:2**

**Table :3 Educational level of respondents**

Response	No of respondents	% of respondents
Intermediate	24	8%
Graduate	105	37%
Post graduate	122	43%
Above PG	35	12%
Total	286	100%



**Graph :3**

**Interpretation:**


intermediate (8%), indicating that the majority of respondents are highly educated.

From the table, 43% of respondents are postgraduates, followed by graduates (37%), above PG (12%), and



**Table:4 Occupation of respondents**

Response	No of respondents	% of respondents
Student	120	42%
Private employee	102	36%
Self employee	48	17%
Un employee	16	6%
Total	286	100%


**Graph:4****Interpretation:**

From the table, 42% of respondents are students, followed by private employees (36%), self-employed

(17%), and unemployed (6%), indicating that students and working professionals form the majority of respondents.

**Table:5 Duration of smart phone usage**

Response	No of respondents	% of respondents
Below 1 year	23	8%
1 to 3 years	101	35%
3 to 5 years	110	38%
above 5 years	52	18%
Total	286	100%

**Graph:5****Interpretation:**

From the table, 38% of respondents have used smartphones for 3–5 years, followed by 1–3 years

(35%), above 5 years (18%), and below 1 year (8%), indicating moderate to high user experience.

**Table :6 Examining and evaluating buying behavior of young consumers**

S. No	Statement	SA	A	N	D	SD	total
1	Frequently upgrade smartphone.	28%	38%	12%	18%	3%	100%
2	Purchase is mainly driven by specific usage needs.	44%	33%	10%	11%	2%	100
3	Willing to spend a significant amount on a smartphone.	28%	38%	12%	18%	3%	100
4	Compare multiple smartphone options before purchasing.	52%	30%	9%	5%	3%	100

#### Interpretation:

**Statement:1**-From the table, 28% strongly agree and 38% agree that they frequently upgrade smartphones, while 12% are neutral, 18% disagree, and 3% strongly disagree, indicating a positive tendency toward frequent upgrades.

**Statement:2**-From the table, 44% strongly agree and 33% agree that smartphone purchases are driven by specific usage needs, while 10% are neutral, 11% disagree, and 2% strongly disagree, indicating a strong need-based buying behavior.

**Statement:3**-From the table, 28% strongly agree and 38% agree that they are willing to spend a significant amount on smartphones, while 12% are neutral, 18% disagree, and 3% strongly disagree, indicating moderate spending willingness.

**Statement:4**-From the table, 52% strongly agree and 30% agree that they compare multiple smartphone options before purchasing, while 9% are neutral, 5% disagree, and 3% strongly disagree, indicating highly rational decision-making behavior.

**Table:7 Identify key determinants influencing purchase decisions**

S. No	Statement	SA	A	N	D	SD
5	Price is the most important factor influencing in purchasing.	43%	21%	6%	21%	9%
6	Brand image plays a crucial role in smartphone choice.	57%	18%	2%	20%	3%
7	Online reviews significantly influence purchase decision.	62%	18%	7%	11%	2%
8	Discounts and promotional offers affect purchase decision.	49%	31%	2%	10%	8%

#### Interpretation:

**Statement:5** From the table, most respondents (64%) consider price as the key purchasing factor, indicating high price sensitivity. However, 30% disagree, showing the influence of other factors like brand, quality, and features, while 6% remain neutral.

**Statement:6** From the table, a majority of respondents (75%) agree that brand image plays a crucial role in smartphone choice, indicating strong brand influence. However, 23% disagree, while 2%

remain neutral, reflecting varying consumer preferences.

**Statement:7** From the table, most respondents (80%) agree that online reviews significantly influence purchase decisions, highlighting strong digital impact. However, 13% disagree, while 7% remain neutral, indicating some consumers rely on other factors.

**Statement:8** From the table, a majority of respondents (80%) agree that discounts and promotional offers affect purchase decisions, indicating strong influence. However, 18% disagree,

while 2% remain neutral, reflecting varied consumer preferences.

**Table :6 Motivational factors for smartphone adoption**

S. No	Statement	SA	A	N	D	SD
9	Purchase smartphones to keep up with the latest technology.	45%	20%	23%	5%	7%
10	Owning a latest smartphone enhances my social status.	35%	25%	20%	14%	6%
11	Peer recommendations strongly influence purchase decision.	20%	40%	25%	10%	5%
12	Social media and advertisements motivate to buy smartphones.	54%	16%	15%	10%	5%

**Interpretation:**

**Statement:9** From the table, a majority of respondents (65%) agree that they purchase smartphones to keep up with the latest technology, indicating trend-driven behavior. However, 12% disagree, while a notable 23% remain neutral.

**Statement:10** From the table, a majority of respondents (60%) agree that owning the latest smartphone enhances social status, indicating social influence. However, 20% remain neutral, while 20% disagree, reflecting mixed perceptions among consumers.

**Statement:11** From the table, a majority of respondents (60%) agree that peer recommendations strongly influence purchase decisions, indicating social impact. However, 15% disagree, while a significant 25% remain neutral, showing varied reliance on peers.

**Statement:12** From the table, a majority of respondents (70%) agree that social media and advertisements motivate smartphone purchases, indicating strong promotional influence. However, 15% remain neutral, while 15% disagree, reflecting mixed responses.

**Table:7 Evaluate impact of brand perception, pricing and technology**

S. No	Statement	SA	A	N	D	SD
13	Brand reputation strongly influences my purchase decision.	64%	11%	5%	11%	9%
14	Higher-priced smartphones offer better quality and performance.	32%	26%	16%	14%	12%
15	Technological features are the primary consideration in purchase.	60%	14%	4%	13%	9%
16	Satisfaction with the features of current smartphone.	46%	12%	15%	10%	17%

**Interpretation:**

**Statement:13** From the table, a majority of respondents (75%) agree that brand reputation strongly influences purchase decisions, indicating strong brand importance. However, 20% disagree, while 5% remain neutral, showing some variation in consumer preferences.

**Statement:14** From the table, a majority of respondents (58%) agree that higher-priced

smartphones offer better quality and performance, indicating perceived value. However, 26% disagree, while 16% remain neutral, reflecting mixed consumer opinions.

**Statement:15** From the table, a majority of respondents (74%) agree that technological features are the primary consideration in purchase decisions, indicating strong preference for innovation. However, 22% disagree, while 4% remain neutral, reflecting some variation.

**Statement:16** From the table, a majority of respondents (58%) are satisfied with the features of their current smartphone, indicating moderate satisfaction. However, 27% are dissatisfied, while 15% remain neutral, reflecting mixed user experiences.

### Findings

1. The study reveals a dominant concentration of respondents within the 21–25 age group, indicating youth-centric consumer representation with significant purchasing potential.
2. Gender distribution is relatively balanced, suggesting minimal gender bias in smartphone purchasing behavior among respondents.
3. A highly educated sample, predominantly postgraduates and graduates, reflects informed decision-making and analytical evaluation in smartphone purchases.
4. Students and private employees constitute the majority, highlighting the influence of academic and professional needs on smartphone adoption.
5. Most respondents possess moderate to extensive smartphone usage experience, indicating familiarity and evolved consumer expectations.
6. Consumers exhibit rational buying behavior, with strong tendencies toward comparison and need-based purchasing decisions.
7. Price sensitivity remains a critical determinant, though balanced by consideration of quality, features, and brand value.
8. Brand image and reputation significantly influence purchase decisions, emphasizing the importance of brand equity in competitive markets.
9. Digital influence, particularly online reviews, plays a decisive role, reflecting the impact of electronic word-of-mouth on consumer behavior.
10. Promotional strategies such as discounts strongly affect purchase intentions, indicating responsiveness to marketing incentives.
11. Technological advancement is a key motivator, with consumers prioritizing innovation and feature-rich smartphones.
12. Social and psychological factors, including peer influence and status perception, moderately shape purchasing decisions alongside functional considerations.

### Suggestions

1. Firms should adopt value-based pricing strategies, balancing affordability with advanced features to address high price sensitivity among young, informed consumers.
2. Companies must strengthen brand equity through consistent quality, innovation, and trust-building to leverage strong brand influence on purchase decisions.
3. Marketers should intensify digital engagement strategies, utilizing online reviews, influencers, and social media to enhance consumer persuasion and brand visibility.
4. Organizations should focus on continuous technological innovation to meet consumer demand for advanced features and sustain competitive advantage.
5. Promotional strategies, including discounts and bundled offers, should be strategically designed to stimulate purchase intentions without diluting brand value.
6. Firms should adopt segmentation-based marketing, targeting students and professionals with customized offerings aligned to their usage needs and preferences.
7. Enhancing post-purchase satisfaction through improved product performance and after-sales service is essential to build loyalty and long-term retention.
8. Companies should leverage peer influence and social validation mechanisms, such as referral programs, to strengthen consumer trust and purchase motivation.

### REFERENCE

1. Blackwell, RD, Miniard, PW & Engel, JF (2006). *Consumer behavior*, 10th edn, Thomson South-Western, Boston.
2. Cochran, W. G. (1977). *Sampling techniques* (3rd ed.). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
3. Comer and T. A. Wikle (2008), Worldwide diffusion of the cellular telephone, (1995-2005). *The Professional Geographer*, 60(2), 252-269.
4. Comer and T. A. Wikle (2008), Worldwide diffusion of the cellular telephone, (1995-2005). *The Professional Geographer*, 60(2), 252-269.
5. Hakoama and S. Hakoyama, (2011). The impact of cell phone use on social networking and

development among college students, The AABSS Journal, 15 (2011), 1-20.

6. Karjaluoto et al. (2005). Factors affecting consumer choice of mobile phones: Two studies from Finland, Journal of Euromarketing, 14(3), 59-82
7. Li, S., & Li, Y. (2010). An Exploration of the Psychological Factors Influencing College

Students' Consumption of Mobile Phone in West China. International.

**HOW TO CITE:** K. Tirumalaiah\*, Jayakranth Rapoori, A Study on Youth Consumer Behaviour towards Purchasing Smart Phones with Special Reference to Tirupati City in and Around, Int. J. Sci. R. Tech., 2026, 3 (4), 636-648. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19634054>